

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		W	v
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/630,084	BLUMENBERG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	James F. Hook	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdom 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	3()	,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the praphication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati iority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (FTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/30/03: 1/26/04		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/630,084

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Germany on August 6, 2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the German application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is more than one paragraph in length. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hammer (058) in view of Hammer (796). The patent to Hammer '058 discloses the recited fiber reinforced cellulose hydrate based tubular sausage casing comprising on the inner surface, for easy peelability from the sausage mixture, a coating comprising a chromium fatty acid complex, a diketene which is considered to be fatty, and a cellulose ether, where the cellulose ether is hydroxyethyl cellulose with specific amounts of each provided, and a process of producing scalded meat emulsion sausage, raw sausage, and pork which is known to include ham. The patent to Hammer '058 discloses all of the recited structure with the exception of providing the mixture with a polyamine

Art Unit: 3752

polyamide epichlorohydrin resin. The patent to Hammer '796 discloses that it is old and well known in the art to improve the adhering characteristics of the casing to the meat prior to being peeled, a peelable coating mixture made from chromium fatty acid complex and fatty diketene can be provided with polyamine polyamide epichlorohydrin resin to add an adhesive component to the release coating to prevent separation and that such works in conjunction with the coating to allow for easy peelability even with an adhesive component added therein. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Hammer '058 by providing the coating mixture with a polyamine polyamide epichlorohydrin resin to provide the coating with an adhesive component to insure that the meat stays adhered to the casing during processing as suggested by Hammer '796 as such would make for a more pleasing and edible looking food product, where upon adding together the components as modified the resulting amount of internal coating applied is within the range of claim 4.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Gopal and Hammer (376) disclosing state of the art casings.

Art Unit: 3752

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James F. Hook whose telephone number is (703) 308-2913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday, work at home Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James F. Hook Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

JFH